INSURANCE INTERMEDIARIES AND BAD FAITH IN INSURANCE: THE CASE OF THE NON-LIFE INSURANCE MARKET IN NORTH MACEDONIA

Bojan Srbinoski, Rakesh Arrawatia, and Łukasz Kuryłowicz

PROBLEM

- Insurer-insured mutual (dis)trust:
 - Insurer exposed to information asymmetry (adverse selection and moral hazard)
 - o Insured exposed to unequal bargaining power (insurer drafts the contract terms)
- Potential opportunistic behavior:
 - Policyholders may exaggerate losses or submit fraudulent claims
 - Insurers may delay, underpay, or unjustly deny valid claims
- Consequences: rise in legal disputes, escalation in transaction and settlement costs, and damage to their reputational capital
- Do the intermediaries play a role in mitigating or stimulating good faith or bad faith behavior?
 - What we know: role in enhancing informational and transactional efficiency, improving service quality, contributing to overall market development; multichannel distribution leads to cost efficiency

PURPOSE

PURPOSE

to examine the effect of different types of intermediaries on the incidence of legal disputes and settlement behavior in the non-life insurance market in North Macedonia

SAMPLE MKD NL

- foreign insurers
- low insurance culture
- no limits on contingent commissions

CONTRIBUTIONS

- the structure and impact of multichannel distribution systems in insurance
- the insurer bad faith practices

THE REASONING

| | | Disputed/Rejected/ Unresolved claims | Settlement behavior |
|---------|---|--|------------------------|
| Brokers | Agency theory: it is difficult for insurers to verify the behavior of brokers • Contingent commissions (-) • Service quality hypothesis (+) | ? | + |
| | | | |
| Agents | Agency theory: monitoring cost to exclusive agents is lower Less incentive to help their clients (environment with low financial literacy) | + | _ |
| | | | |
| Banks | Agency theory: Acting in the interests of the bank and no mechanism to discipline insurers • the bank typically has little involvement once a claim arises; bank staff are not insurance specialists | 0 | _ |

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

DATA

MODELS

- 11 non-life insurers (Firm-level data); Period 2013-2022
- Method: RE IV 2SLS with group mean centring
- Bad faith measures: The share of the number of disputed claims (on court) to total number of claims at the end of the year
- Settlement behavior measure: The ratio of paid claims to technical premium (different lines)
- Intermediary intensity measure: The ratio of the share of contracts generated via agents/bancassurance/brokers for the insurer to the share of contracts generated via agents/bancassurance/brokers in the country

$$BF_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (DIST_{it} - \overline{DIST}_i) + \beta_2 \overline{DIST}_i + \phi'(X_{it} - \overline{X}_i) + \delta' \overline{X}_i + d_t + v_{it}$$

RESULTS

| | D: 1 | n: 1 | | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.111 |
|---------------|---------|--------------|---------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|
| VADIABLES | Disput | Disputes and | Unresolv | Settlement | Settlement (Sanaa) | Settlement | Settlement |
| VARIABLES | es | rejected | ed | (Accid.) | (Casco) | (Prop.) | (MTPL) |
| Danker. | | | | | | | |
| Broker | 0.010* | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.270 | 0.164 | 0.511 | 0.121 |
| (within) | 0.018* | 0.018 | 0.088 | 0.378 | 0.164 | -0.511 | -0.131 |
| Danie - | (1.888) | (0.406) | (1.182) | (0.962) | (0.633) | (-1.097) | (-0.773) |
| Broker | 0.000* | 0.027 | - 0.257*** | 1.461*** | 0.222** | 0.0778 | 0.167 |
| (between) | 0.029* | -0.027 | | | 0.333** | -0.877* | 0.167 |
| _ | (1.755) | (-0.672) | (-5.089) | (4.779) | (2.120) | (-1.919) | (1.028) |
| R-sg. | 0.464 | 0.287 | 0.736 | 0.389 | 0.163 | 0.0297 | 0.218 |
| Chi-sg | 372.0 | 132.6 | 1701 | 362.7 | 120.0 | 139.4 | 71.75 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Agents | | | | | | | |
| (within) | 0.013 | -0.031 | -0.018 | -1.084 | 0.133 | 0.503 | 0.443* |
| | (0.679) | (-0.380) | (-0.151) | (-1.249) | (0.290) | (1.242) | (1.801) |
| Agents | | | | | | | |
| (between) | 0.002 | 0.009** | 0.003 | 0.030 | 0.015 | -0.062 | -0.008 |
| | (1.558) | (2.019) | (0.313) | (0.671) | (1.554) | (-0.910) | (-0.294) |
| R-sg | 0.578 | 0.382 | 0.736 | 0.0517 | 0.233 | 0.170 | 0.00519 |
| Chi-sg | 991.2 | 199.4 | 115.7 | 616.7 | 113.6 | 119.8 | 26.29 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Bank (within) | 0.002 | -0.011 | -0.008 | -0.396 | 0.021 | 0.215 | 0.140 |
| | (0.313) | (-0.323) | (-0.190) | (-0.967) | (0.157) | (0.717) | (0.757) |
| Bank | | | | | | | |
| (between) | -0.004 | 0.008 | 0.038** | -0.114* | -0.046 | 0.061 | -0.053 |
| | (- | | | | | | |
| | 1.427) | (0.703) | (2.175) | (-1.684) | (-1.125) | (1.173) | (-1.051) |
| Obs. | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| #Firms | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| R-sg | 0.659 | 0.307 | 0.719 | 0.179 | 0.243 | 0.173 | 8.63e-05 |
| Chi-sg | 238.8 | 102.1 | 223.5 | 515.5 | 232.5 | 130.0 | 16.04 |

CONCLUSION

- Brokers → more court disputes but fewer unresolved claims (efficient closure)
 - brokers' engagement from claim reporting to settlement curbs clients' opportunistic
 behaviors and reduces insurers' auditing burdens, yielding a smoother claims experience
- Agents → higher disputed & rejected claims (more contentious handling)
- Brokers & Agents → higher settlement ratios (agents MTPL; brokers Accident and Casco) (greater responsiveness).
- Bancassurance → fewer disputes but more unresolved claims (slower processing) and more conservative payout patterns (notably in accident insurance)
 - Bancassurance channels deliver lower distribution costs but must contend with potentially less personalized service

MAIN REFERENCES

- CUMMINS, J. D., & DOHERTY, N. A. (2006). THE ECONOMICS OF INSURANCE INTERMEDIARIES. JOURNAL OF RISK AND INSURANCE, 73(3), 359–396. HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1111/J.1539-6975.2006.00180.X
- GENNAIOLI, N., LA PORTA, R., LOPEZ-DE-SILANES, F., & SHLEIFER, A. (2022). TRUST AND INSURANCE CONTRACTS. THE REVIEW OF FINANCIAL STUDIES, 35(12), 5287–5333. HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1093/RFS/HHAB112
- OLALEKAN YUSUF, T. (2010). BROKERS AND THE CONTROL OF POSTCONTRACTUAL OPPORTUNISM IN THE NIGERIAN INSURANCE MARKET. JOURNAL OF FINANCIAL CRIME, 17(2), 223–239. HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1108/13590791011033917
- VENEZIA, I., GALAI, D., & SHAPIRA, Z. (1999). EXCLUSIVE VS. INDEPENDENT AGENTS: A SEPARATING EQUILIBRIUM APPROACH. JOURNAL OF ECONOMIC BEHAVIOR & ORGANIZATION, 40(4), 443–456. HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.1016/S0167-2681(99)00064-5

THANK YOU